

Lawrence Tabas
Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP
Centre Square West
1500 Market Street | Suite 3400
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2101

JUL 0 6 2018

**RE:** MUR 7338

Rick for Congress

and Brenda Hankins, as treasurer,

Friends of Rick Saccone

and Nicolas Racculia, as treasurer,

Rick Saccone

Dear Mr. Tabas:

On March 7, 2018, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On June 28, 2018, based upon the information contained in the complaint and information provided by respondents, the Commission decided to dismiss allegations that Rick for Congress and Brenda Hankins in her official capacity as treasurer, Friends of Rick Saccone and Nicolas Racculia in his official capacity as treasurer, and Rick Saccone violated provisions of the Act. The Commission then closed its file in this matter. A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). If you have any questions, please contact Kristina Portner, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1518.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff 8. Jordan

**Assistant General Counsel** 

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
3 4 5 6 7 8	RESPONDENTS: Rick for Congress and MUR 7338  Brenda Hankins in her official capacity as treasurer,  Friends of Rick Saccone and Nicolas Racculia  in his official capacity as treasurer, and  Rick Saccone  This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election
10	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by Rick for
11	Congress and Brenda Hankins in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Federal Committee"),
12	Friends of Rick Saccone and Nicolas Racculia in his official capacity as treasurer (the "State
13	Committee"), and Rick Saccone. It was scored as a low-rated matter under the Enforcement
14	Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its
15	resources and decide which matters to pursue.
16	The Complaint alleges that the State Committee made expenditures for activities related
17	to Saccone's federal campaign in violation of the Act. In particular, Complainant challenges
18	four expenditures by the State Committee: (1) an \$800 payment for a full-page newspaper ad,
19	(2) a payment for Saccone and a staffer's attendance at the Conservative Political Action
20	Conference, (3) a \$145.48 payment for campaign supplies, and (4) a \$955 transfer to the Federa
21	Committee. <sup>2</sup>
22	Respondents admit that the State Committee made the four payments and assert that any
23	violation of the Act was inadvertent. <sup>3</sup> First, Respondents assert that the \$800 payment was an
24	overdue payment for an ad that ran the month before Saccone's November 2016 election for

Compl. at 2 (Mar. 1, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Resp. at 2-3 (Apr. 30, 2018).

Case Closure — MUR 7338 (Rick for Congress, et al.)
Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 2

- 1 State Representative and was unrelated to the federal campaign. 4 Second, Respondents assert
- 2 that the State Committee believed it could reimburse the conference costs because Saccone was
- 3 a Pennsylvania State Representative, and, in an abundance of caution, the Federal Committee
- 4 has reimbursed the State Committee for those costs.<sup>5</sup> Third, Respondents assert that the \$145.48
- 5 payment was for two ink cartridges, and any violation was de mimimis. 6 Fourth, Respondents
- 6 explain that the State Committee mistakenly believed it could transfer the \$995 to the Federal
- 7 Committee, and that the Federal Committee has issued a refund. Finally, the State
- 8 Committee's treasurer has agreed to seek approval from the State Committee Chairman or
- 9 counsel before spending any funds while Saccone is a federal candidate.<sup>8</sup>
- The Act prohibits a federal candidate from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or
- 11 spending funds in connection with a federal campaign unless the funds are subject to the
- 12 limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirement of the Act. 9 The Act provides that this
- prohibition does not apply to the solicitation, receipt, or spending of funds by an individual who
- 14 is or was also a candidate for a state or local office solely in connection with such election for
- state or local office so long as the solicitation, receipt, or spending of funds is permitted under
- 16 state law.<sup>10</sup> Commission regulations further prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a

Id. at 2, Ex. A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*. at 2

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 2.

Id. at 3. Respondents explain that the State Committee had collected enough federally permissible contributions, and did not realize that regulations prohibited the transfer of funds from a candidate's committee for a nonfederal election to his principal campaign committee. Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup> *Id*. at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(2).

Case Closure — MUR 7338 (Rick for Congress, et al.)
Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 3

- 1 candidate's campaign committee for a nonfederal election to his principal campaign committee
- 2 for a federal election or other authorized committee for a federal election. 11
- 3 The available information shows that the State Committee paid for certain expenditures
- 4 related to Saccone's federal candidacy, and the State Committee transferred money to the
- 5 Federal Committee.
- In furtherance of the Commission's priorities relative to other matters pending on the
- 7 Enforcement docket, the relatively modest amounts at issue, and remedial actions taken by the
- 8 Committee, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegations
- 9 as to Rick for Congress and Brenda Hankins in her official capacity as treasurer, Friends of Rick
- 10 Saccone and Nicolas Racculia in his official capacity as treasurer, and Rick Saccone. Heckler v.
- 11 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).